

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/748,432	12/30/2003	Charles R. Roe	BHCS:1006RCE	7856
34725 CHALKER FI	7590 04/22/200 ORES LLP	8	EXAMINER	
2711 LBJ FRV		POLANSKY, GREGO		
Suite 1036 DALLAS, TX	75234		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-,			1611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			MAILDATE 04/22/2009	DADED

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/748,432	ROE, CHARLES R.
Examiner	Art Unit
Gregg Polansky	1611

		Oregg i oldribly	1011				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE	THE REPLY FILED 29 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. 🛭	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07C)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.			
have unde set f may	unsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 9.73 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expitation date of the orth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). TICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2.	2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
	ENDMENTS						
3. 12		nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.				
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. 🛭	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. 🖸	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the provided in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
ΔFF	Claim(s) objected to: <u>21-23.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-18 and 21-36.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: FIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).			
10.	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. 
The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In Each of the request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the	attached Information Disclosure	Statement(s). (PTO/SB/0	<ol> <li>Paper No(s)</li> </ol>
13. Other: _			

/Ardin Marschel/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claim 15 of the claim set (filed 12/27/2006) considered in the Final Rejection filed 12/31/2007 is drawn to a method of accelerating the growth rate of a prematurely-born infant by administering to said infant a composition comprising a seven carbon fatty acid or derivative thereof. However, independent claim 15 of the claim set filed /2/29/2007 is drawn to a method of suppressing the effects of translocase deficiency in a prematurely-born infant by administering to an infant supected of having a translocase deficiency a composition comprising a seven carbon fatty acid selected from triheptanoin or n-heptanoic acid or derivatives thereof. These amendments clearly raise is suses that would require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argument that claims 24-29 are improperly objected to as being in improper dependent form is well taken and the objection is withdrawn.

Applicant argument that claims 15-18 and 21-36 are improperly rejected under 35 U.S.C, first paragraph as lacking enablement is not well taken. Applicant argument that claims are not drawn to any seven carbon fatty acids or derivatives thereof, but are drawn to triheptanoin or n-heptanoic acid or derivatives. The Examiner disagrees. The claim set field 122/7/2006, which was considered in the Office Action (Final Rejection) filed 12/21/2007, are drawn to a composition comprising a seven carbon fatty acid or derivative thereof. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.